

Application No.09/844,005

Attorney Docket: 2050-07

REMARKS

In this final amendment, the applicant withdrew arguments made against the Non-Final Action. Instead the applicant determined to amend claims relying on the allowable claims 5 and 8 out of nine pending claims in compliance with the examiner's initial teaching.

Accordingly, the objected claim 5 is canceled and then incorporated to the rejected independent claim 1. Similarly, the objected claim 8 is also canceled and combined to the rejected independent claim 6. To overcome §112 rejection, claim 2 has been amended such that *the trademark/trade names are crossed out* as presented in the first amendment.

No new matters are included in this amendment.

The applicant believes after this amendment that claims 1-4, 6-7 and 9 (total seven claims out of nine) should be under the condition of allowance.

Applicant now respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued for this application.

Respectfully submitted,

IPLA P.A.

Date: June 29, 2005

/James E. Bame/
James E. Bame
Regis. No. 44521
Tel: 213-637-5633